



"Synk, Polly"
<SynkP@michigan.gov>
02/06/2007 04:35 PM

To
Subject RE: US EPA-Plainwell Dam SF, MIU990025

Bonnie and all -

My notes from the negotiations indicated that the state's requirements are considered an ARAR for the TCRA, and therefore are to be followed only if practicable (thus, compliance is not mandatory). Here, compliance was expected to be practicable, and so BBL was going to submit information to the Water Bureau now for signoff in the form of an SRD, so that nothing in a state review process would impede progress at the site come springtime.

If Sam/the OSC decided that compliance with state requirements was impracticable, that would change things - but my understanding is that Alvin Lam already turned around a draft of the SRD for comment by Steve G and finalization by Friday, making this process highly practicable. If Steve is OK with it (I confess I haven't looked at these documents, but as of today, WB expected to sign off on the plans), then the process is done as of Friday.

This is my understanding, and it could be that I've missed a step or two, but I would not expect much further on this topic.

Polly

-----Original Message-----

From: Barnett, Bonnie A. [mailto:Bonnie.Barnett@dbr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 06, 2007 5:16 PM
To: Garbaciak, Steve; Daria W. Devantier
Cc: Paul Bucholtz; Daniel Dell; Furey.Eileen@epa.gov; Synk, Polly; Borries.Samuel@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: US EPA-Plainwell Dam SF, MIU990025

Daria:

I am still confused here -- I did not think we were asking for an SRD per se, given that this is a TCRA, but simply getting input from the program. Does this signify that the Water Bureau is OK with what we are planning? Do we need anything more? Sam and Eileen, what do you think? Thanks.

Bonnie.

Bonnie Allyn Barnett

Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
One Logan Square 18th & Cherry Streets | Philadelphia, PA 19103-6996
215.988.2916 (Direct Dial) | 267-402-4618 (Fax) | www.drinkerbiddle.com

-----Original Message-----